

ON QUANTUM CAPACITY AND ITS BOUND

Masanori Ohya* and Igor V. Volovich**

* Department of Information Sciences

Tokyo University of Science

Noda City, Chiba 278-8510, Japan

** Steklov Mathematical Institute,

Gubkin St.8, GSP-1 117966,

Moscow, Russia

Abstract

The quantum capacity of a pure quantum channel and that of classical-quantum-classical channel are discussed in detail based on the fully quantum mechanical mutual entropy. It is proved that the quantum capacity generalizes the so-called Holevo bound.

1 Introduction

Measure theoretic formulation of the mutual entropy (information) in classical systems was done by Kolmogorov [1] and Gelfand, Yaglom [2], which enabled to define the capacity of information channel. In quantum systems, there have been several definitions of the mutual entropy for classical input and quantum output [3, 4, 5]. In 1983, Ohya defined [6] the fully quantum mechanical mutual entropy, i.e., for quantum input and quantum output, by means of the relative entropy of Umegaki [7], and he extended it [8] to general quantum systems by using the relative entropy of Araki [9] and Uhlmann [10]. In this short note, we prove that the quantum capacity [11] of a quantum channel derived from the fully quantum mechanical mutual entropy generalizes the so-called Holevo bound.

2 Mutual Entropy

The quantum mutual entropy was introduced in [6] for a quantum input and quantum output, namely, for a purely quantum channel, and it was generalized for a general quantum system described by C*-algebraic terminology [8]. We briefly review the mutual entropy in usual quantum system described by a Hilbert space.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space for an input space, $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all density operators on $\mathcal{H}$. An output space is described by another Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, but often $\mathcal{H} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. A channel from the input system to the output system is a mapping Λ^* from $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ [12]. A channel Λ^* is said to be completely positive if the dual map Λ satisfies the following condition: $\sum_{k,j=1}^n A_k^* \Lambda(B_k^* B_j) A_j \geq 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $A_j \in B(\mathcal{H})$, $B_k \in B(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$.

An input state $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is sent to the output system through a channel Λ^* , so that the output state is written as $\tilde{\rho} \equiv \Lambda^* \rho$. Then it is important to ask how much information of ρ is sent to the output state $\Lambda^* \rho$. This amount of information transmitted from input to output is expressed by the quantum mutual entropy.

The quantum mutual entropy was introduced on the basis of the von Neumann entropy ($S(\rho) \equiv -\text{tr} \rho \log \rho$) for purely quantum communication processes. The mutual entropy depends on an input state ρ and a channel Λ^* , so it is denoted by $I(\rho; \Lambda^*)$, which should satisfy the following conditions:

(i) The quantum mutual entropy is well-matched to the von Neumann entropy. Furthermore, if a channel is trivial, i.e., $\Lambda^* = \text{identity map}$, then the mutual entropy equals to the von Neumann entropy: $I(\rho; id) = S(\rho)$.

(ii) When the system is classical, the quantum mutual entropy reduces to classical one.

(iii) Shannon's fundamental inequality [13] $0 \leq I(\rho; \Lambda^*) \leq S(\rho)$ is held.

To define such a quantum mutual entropy extending Shannon's and Gelfand-Yaglom's classical mutual entropy, we need the quantum relative entropy and the joint state (it is called "compound state" in the sequel) describing the correlation between an input state ρ and the output state $\Lambda^* \rho$ through a channel Λ^* . A finite partition of

measurable space in classical case corresponds to an orthogonal decomposition $\{E_k\}$ of the identity operator I of $\mathcal{H}$ in quantum case because the set of all orthogonal projections is considered to make an event system in a quantum system. It is known [14] that the following equality holds

$$\sup \left\{ - \sum_k \text{tr} \rho E_k \log \text{tr} \rho E_k; \{E_k\} \right\} = -\text{tr} \rho \log \rho,$$

and the supremum is attained when $\{E_k\}$ is a Schatten decomposition[15] of ρ . Therefore the Schatten decomposition is used to define the compound state and the quantum mutual entropy following the formulation of the classical mutual entropy by Kolmogorov, Gelfand and Yaglom [2].

The compound state σ_E (corresponding to joint state in CS) of ρ and $\Lambda^* \rho$ was introduced in [6, 16], which is given by

$$\sigma_E = \sum_k \lambda_k E_k \otimes \Lambda^* E_k, \quad (1)$$

where E stands for a Schatten decomposition $\{E_k\}$ of ρ , so that the compound state depends on how we decompose the state ρ into basic states (elementary events), in other words, how to observe the input state.

The relative entropy for two states ρ and σ is defined by Umegaki [7] and Lindblad [17], which is written as

$$S(\rho, \sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{tr} \rho (\log \rho - \log \sigma) & (\text{when } \overline{\text{ran} \rho} \subset \overline{\text{ran} \sigma}) \\ \infty & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Then we can define the mutual entropy by means of the compound state and the relative entropy [6], that is,

$$I(\rho; \Lambda^*) = \sup \{ S(\sigma_E, \rho \otimes \Lambda^* \rho); E = \{E_k\} \}, \quad (3)$$

where the supremum is taken over all Schatten decompositions because this decomposition is not unique unless every eigenvalue is not degenerated. Some computations reduce it to the following form [6]:

$$I(\rho; \Lambda^*) = \sup \left\{ \sum_k \lambda_k S(\Lambda^* E_k, \Lambda^* \rho); E = \{E_k\} \right\}. \quad (4)$$

This mutual entropy satisfies all conditions (i)~(iii) mentioned above.

It is important to note here that the Schatten decomposition of ρ is unique when the input system is classical. That is, when an input state ρ is given by a probability distribution or a probability measure. For the case of probability distribution ; $\rho = \{\lambda_k\}$, the Schatten decomposition is uniquely given by

$$\rho = \sum_k \lambda_k \delta_k, \quad (5)$$

where δ_k is the delta measure;

$$\delta_k(j) = \delta_{k,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & (k=j) \\ 0 & (k \neq j) \end{cases}, \forall j. \quad (6)$$

Therefore for any channel Λ^* , the mutual entropy becomes

$$I(\rho; \Lambda^*) = \sum_k \lambda_k S(\Lambda^* \delta_k, \Lambda^* \rho), \quad (7)$$

which equals to the following usual expression of Shannon when the minus is well-defined:

$$I(\rho; \Lambda^*) = S(\Lambda^* \rho) - \sum_k \lambda_k S(\Lambda^* \delta_k). \quad (8)$$

The above equality has been taken as the definition of the mutual entropy for a classical-quantum channel [3, 4, 5].

Note that the definition (3) of the mutual entropy is written as

$$I(\rho; \Lambda^*) = \sup \left\{ \sum_k \lambda_k S(\Lambda^* \rho_k, \Lambda^* \rho) ; \rho = \sum_k \lambda_k \rho_k \in F_o(\rho) \right\},$$

where $F_o(\rho)$ is the set of all orthogonal finite decompositions of ρ . The proof of the above equality is given in [18] by means of fundamental properties of the quantum relative entropy.

3 Communication Processes

We discuss communication processes in this section [4, 14]. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be a set of certain alphabets and Ω be the infinite direct product of A : $\Omega = A^Z \equiv \Pi_{-\infty}^{\infty} A$ calling a message space. In order to send a information written by an element of this message space to a receiver, we often need to transfer the message into a proper form for a communication channel. This change of a message is called a coding. Precisely, a coding is a measurable one to one map ξ from Ω to a proper space X .

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\Omega, P(\Omega))$ be an input probability space and X be the coded input space. This space X may be a classical object or a quantum object. For instance, X is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of a quantum system, then the coded input system is described by $(B(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}))$.

An output system is similarly described as the input system: The coded output space is denoted by $\tilde{X}$ and the decoded output space is $\tilde{\Omega}$ made by another alphabets. An transmission (map) from X to $\tilde{X}$ is described by a channel reflecting all properties of a physical device, which is denoted by γ here. With a decoding $\tilde{\xi}$, the whole information transmission process is written as

$$\Omega \mapsto \xi X \mapsto \gamma \tilde{X} \mapsto \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\Omega}. \quad (9)$$

That is, a message $\omega \in \Omega$ is coded to $\xi(\omega)$ and it is sent to the output system through a channel γ , then the output coded message becomes $\gamma \circ \xi(\omega)$ and it is decoded to $\tilde{\xi} \circ \gamma \circ \xi(\omega)$ at a receiver.

This transmission process is mathematically set as follows: M messages are sent to a receiver and the k th message $\omega^{(k)}$ occurs with the probability λ_k . Then the occurrence probability of each message in the sequence $(\omega^{(1)}, \omega^{(2)}, \dots, \omega^{(M)})$ of M messages is denoted by $\rho = \{\lambda_k\}$, which is a state in a classical system. If ξ is a classical coding, then $\xi(\omega)$ is a classical object such as an electric pulse. If ξ is a quantum coding, then $\xi(\omega)$ is a quantum object (state) such as a coherent state. Here we consider such a quantum coding, so that $\xi(\omega^{(k)})$ is a quantum state, and we denote $\xi(\omega^{(k)})$ by σ_k . Thus the coded state for the sequence $(\omega^{(1)}, \omega^{(2)}, \dots, \omega^{(M)})$ is written as

$$\sigma = \sum_k \lambda_k \sigma_k. \quad (10)$$

This state is transmitted through a channel γ . This channel is expressed by a completely positive mapping Γ^* , in the sense of Sec.1, from the state space of X to that of $\tilde{X}$, hence the output coded quantum state $\tilde{\sigma}$ is $\Gamma^* \sigma$. Since the information transmission process can be understood as a process of state (probability) change, when Ω and $\tilde{\Omega}$ are classical and X and $\tilde{X}$ are quantum, the process (9) is written as

$$P(\Omega) \mapsto \Xi^* \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \mapsto \Gamma^* \mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) \mapsto \tilde{\Xi}^* P(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad (11)$$

where Ξ^* (resp. $\tilde{\Xi}^*$) is the channel corresponding to the coding ξ (resp. $\tilde{\xi}$) and $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$) is the set of all density operators (states) on $\mathcal{H}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$).

We have to be care to study the objects in the above transmission process (9) or (11). Namely, we have to make clear which object is going to study. For instance, if we want to know the information capacity of a quantum channel $\gamma (= \Gamma^*)$, then we have to take X so as to describe a quantum system like a Hilbert space and we need to start the study from a quantum state in quantum space X not from a classical state associated to a message. If we like to know the capacity of the whole process including a coding and a decoding, which means the capacity of a channel $\tilde{\xi} \circ \gamma \circ \xi (= \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*)$, then we have to start from a classical state. In any case, when we concern the capacity of channel, we have only to take the supremum of the mutual entropy $I(\rho; \Lambda^*)$ over a quantum or classical state ρ in a proper set determined by what we like to study with a channel Λ^* . We explain this more precisely in the next section.

4 Channel Capacity

We discuss two types of channel capacity in communication processes, namely, the capacity of a quantum channel Γ^* and that of a classical (classical-quantum-classical) channel $\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*$.

(1) *Capacity of quantum channel:* The capacity of a quantum channel is the ability of information transmission of a quantum channel itself, so that it does not depend on

how to code a message being treated as a classical object and we have to start from an arbitrary quantum state and find the supremum of the mutual entropy. One often makes a mistake in this point. For example, one starts from the coding of a message and compute the supremum of the mutual entropy and he says that the supremum is the capacity of a quantum channel, which is not correct. Even when his coding is a quantum coding and he sends the coded message to a receiver through a quantum channel, if he starts from a classical state, then his capacity is not the capacity of the quantum channel itself. In his case, usual Shannon's theory is applied because he can easily compute the conditional distribution by usual (classical) way. His supremum is the capacity of a classical-quantum-classical channel, and it is in the second category discussed below.

Let $\mathcal{S}_0(\subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}))$ be the set of all states prepared for expression of information. Then the capacity of the channel Γ^* with respect to $\mathcal{S}_0$ is defined as:

Definition 1 *The capacity of a quantum channel Γ^* is*

$$C^{\mathcal{S}_0}(\Gamma^*) = \sup \{I(\rho; \Gamma^*); \rho \in \mathcal{S}_0\}. \quad (12)$$

Here $I(\rho; \Gamma^*)$ is the mutual entropy given in (3) or (4) with $\Lambda^* = \Gamma^*$.

When $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, $C^{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})}(\Gamma^*)$ is denoted by $C(\Gamma^*)$ for simplicity. In [8, 19, 18], we also considered the pseudo-quantum capacity $C_p(\Gamma^*)$ defined by (12) with the pseudo-mutual entropy $I_p(\rho; \Gamma^*)$ where the supremum is taken over all finite decompositions instead of all orthogonal pure decompositions:

$$I_p(\rho; \Gamma^*) = \sup \left\{ \sum_k \lambda_k S(\Gamma^* \rho_k, \Gamma^* \rho); \rho = \sum_k \lambda_k \rho_k, \text{ finite decomposition} \right\}. \quad (13)$$

However the pseudo-mutual entropy is not well-matched to the conditions explained in Sec.2, and it is difficult to compute numerically [20]. From the monotonicity of the mutual entropy [14], we have

$$0 \leq C^{\mathcal{S}_0}(\Gamma^*) \leq C_p^{\mathcal{S}_0}(\Gamma^*) \leq \sup \{S(\rho); \rho \in \mathcal{S}_0\}.$$

(2) *Capacity of classical-quantum-classical channel:* The capacity of C-Q-C channel $\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*$ is the capacity of the information transmission process starting from the coding of messages, therefore it can be considered as the capacity including a coding (and a decoding). As is discussed in Sec.3, an input state ρ is the probability distribution $\{\lambda_k\}$ of messages, and its Schatten decomposition is unique as (5), so the mutual entropy is written by (7):

$$I(\rho; \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*) = \sum_k \lambda_k S(\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^* \delta_k, \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^* \rho). \quad (14)$$

If the coding Ξ^* is a quantum coding, then $\Xi^* \delta_k$ is expressed by a quantum state. Let denote the coded quantum state by σ_k and put $\sigma = \Xi^* \rho = \sum_k \lambda_k \sigma_k$. We denote the set of such quantum codings by $\mathcal{C}$. Then the above mutual entropy becomes

$$I\left(\rho; \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right) = \sum_k \lambda_k S\left(\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \sigma_k, \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \sigma\right). \quad (15)$$

This is the expression of the mutual entropy of the whole information transmission process starting from a coding of classical messages. Hence the capacity of C-Q-C channel is as follows:

Definition 2 *The capacity of C-Q-C channel is*

$$C^{P_0}\left(\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right) = \sup\{I\left(\rho; \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right); \rho \in P_0\}, \quad (16)$$

where $P_0(\subset P(\Omega))$ is the set of all probability distributions prepared for input (a-priori) states (distributions or probability measures).

Moreover the capacity for coding free in $\mathcal{C}$ is found by taking the supremum of the mutual entropy (15) over all probability distributions in P_0 and all codings in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$C_c^{P_0}\left(\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^*\right) = \sup\{I\left(\rho; \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right); \rho \in P_0, \Xi^* \in \mathcal{C}\}. \quad (17)$$

There are several ways to decode quantum states such as quantum measurements, so that denote such decodings by $\mathcal{D}$. The capacity for decoding free in $\mathcal{D}$ is

$$C_d^{P_0}\left(\Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right) = \sup\{I\left(\rho; \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right); \rho \in P_0, \tilde{\Xi}^* \in \mathcal{D}\}. \quad (18)$$

The last capacity is for both coding and decoding free and it is given by

$$C_{cd}^{P_0}\left(\Gamma^*\right) = \sup\{I\left(\rho; \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right); \rho \in P_0, \Xi^* \in \mathcal{C}, \tilde{\Xi}^* \in \mathcal{D}\}. \quad (19)$$

These capacities $C_c^{P_0}, C_d^{P_0}, C_{cd}^{P_0}$ do not measure the ability of the quantum channel Γ^* itself, but measure the ability of Γ^* through the coding and decoding. The above three capacities $C^{P_0}, C_c^{P_0}, C_{cd}^{P_0}$ satisfy the following inequalities

$$0 \leq C^{P_0}\left(\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right) \leq C_c^{P_0}\left(\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^*\right), \quad C_d^{P_0}\left(\Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right) \leq C_{cd}^{P_0}\left(\Gamma^*\right) \leq \sup\{S(\rho); \rho \in P_0\}$$

where $S(\rho)$ is not the von Neumann entropy but the Shannon entropy: $-\sum \lambda_k \log \lambda_k$.

Remark that if $\sum_k \lambda_k S(\Gamma^* \sigma_k)$ is finite, then (15) becomes

$$I\left(\rho; \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right) = S(\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \sigma) - \sum_k \lambda_k S(\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \sigma_k). \quad (20)$$

Further, if ρ is a probability measure having a density function $f(\lambda)$ and each λ corresponds to a quantum coded state $\sigma(\lambda)$, then $\sigma = \int f(\lambda) \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda$ and

$$I\left(\rho; \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*\right) = S(\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \sigma) - \int f(\lambda) S(\tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \sigma(\lambda)) d\lambda, \quad (21)$$

which is less than

$$S(\Gamma^*\sigma) - \int f(\lambda)S(\Gamma^*\sigma(\lambda))d\lambda. \quad (22)$$

This bound is computed in several cases[19, 21]. This bound is a special one of the following inequality

$$I(\rho; \tilde{\Xi}^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*) \leq I(\rho; \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*),$$

which comes from the monotonicity of the relative entropy. When the decoding is not taken into account, then we have only to consider the mutual entropy $I(\rho; \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*)$ above.

Let us define an extension of the functional of the relative entropy. If A and B are two positive Hermitian operators (not necessarily the states, i.e., not necessarily with unit traces) then we set

$$S(A, B) = \text{tr} A (\log A - \log B)$$

There is the following *Bogoliubov inequality*.

$$S(A, B) \geq \text{tr} A (\log \text{tr} A - \log \text{tr} B)$$

The following theorem gives us the bound of the mutual entropy $I(\rho; \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*)$.

Theorem 1 *For a probability distribution $\rho = \{\lambda_k\}$ and a quantum coded state $\sigma = \Xi^*\rho \equiv \sum_k \lambda_k \sigma_k$, $\lambda_k \geq 0$, $\sum_k \lambda_k = 1$, one has the following inequality for any quantum channel decomposed as $\Gamma^* = \Gamma_1^* \circ \Gamma_2^*$ such that $\Gamma_1^*\sigma \equiv \sum_i E_i \sigma E_i$ by a projection valued measure $\{E_i\}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \lambda_k S(\sigma_k, \sigma) &\geq I(\rho; \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*) = \sum_k \lambda_k S(\Gamma^*\sigma_k, \Gamma^*\sigma) \\ &\geq \sum_i \left[-\text{tr}(\Gamma_2^*\sigma E_i) \log \text{tr}(\Gamma_2^*\sigma E_i) + \sum_k \lambda_k \text{tr}(\Gamma_2^*\sigma_k E_i) \log \text{tr}(\Gamma_2^*\sigma_k E_i) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

proof:

The equality $I(\rho; \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*) = \sum_k \lambda_k S(\Gamma^*\sigma_k, \Gamma^*\sigma)$ is the case of the equality (15), and the first inequality comes from the monotonicity of the relative entropy. Further by applying again the monotonicity of the relative entropy, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \lambda_k S(\Gamma^*\sigma_k, \Gamma^*\sigma) &\geq \sum_k \sum_i \lambda_k S(E_i \Gamma_2^*\sigma_k E_i, E_i \Gamma_2^*\sigma E_i) \\ &\geq \sum_{k,i} \lambda_k \text{tr}(E_i \Gamma_2^*\sigma_k E_i) (\log \text{tr}(E_i \Gamma_2^*\sigma_k E_i) - \log \text{tr}(E_i \Gamma_2^*\sigma E_i)) \\ &= \sum_i \left(-\text{tr}(\Gamma_2^*\sigma E_i) \log \text{tr}(\Gamma_2^*\sigma E_i) + \sum_k \lambda_k \text{tr}(\Gamma_2^*\sigma_k E_i) \log \text{tr}(\Gamma_2^*\sigma_k E_i) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here the second inequality is due to the Bogoliubov inequality. *Q.E.D.*

In the case that the channel Γ_2^* is trivial; $\Gamma_2^*\sigma = \sigma$, the above inequality reduces to the bound obtained by Holevo [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \lambda_k S(\sigma_k, \sigma) &= -\text{tr} \sigma \log \sigma + \sum_k \lambda_k \text{tr} \sigma_k \log \sigma_k \\ &\geq I(\rho; \Gamma^* \circ \Xi^*) = I(\rho; \Gamma_1^* \circ \Xi^*) \\ &\geq \sum_i \left[-\text{tr}(\sigma E_i) \log \text{tr}(\sigma E_i) + \sum_k \lambda_k \text{tr}(\sigma_k E_i) \log \text{tr}(\sigma_k E_i) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Remark that the right hand side in the inequality is sometimes called the *accessible information*.

Using the above upper and lower bounds of the mutual entropy, we can compute these bounds of the capacity in many different cases.

References

- [1] A.N.Kolmogorov, *Theory of transmission of information*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translation, Ser.2, **33** (1963) pp.291-321.
- [2] I.M.Gelfand and A.M.Yaglom, *Calculation of the amount of information about a random function contained in another such function*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **12** (1959) pp.199-246.
- [3] A.S.Holevo, *Some estimates for the amount of information transmittable by a quantum communication channel (in Russian)*, Problemy Peredachi Informacii, **9** (1973) pp.3-11.
- [4] R.S.Ingarden, *Quantum information theory*, Rep. Math. Phys., **10** (1976) pp.43-73.
- [5] L.B.Levitin, **Physical information theory for 30 years: basic concepts and results**, Springer Lect. Note in Phys., **378** (1991) pp.101-110.
- [6] M.Ohya, *On compound state and mutual information in quantum information theory*, IEEE Trans. Information Theory, **29**, (1983) pp.770-777.
- [7] H.Umegaki, *Conditional expectations in an operator algebra IV (entropy and information)*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., **14**, (1962) pp.59-85.
- [8] M.Ohya, *Some aspects of quantum information theory and their applications to irreversible processes*, Rep. Math. Phys., **27** (1989) pp.19-47.
- [9] H.Araki, *Relative entropy for states of von Neumann algebras*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **11** (1976) pp.809-833.

- [10] A.Uhlmann, *Relative entropy and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb concavity in interpolation theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **54**, (1977) pp.21–32.
- [11] M.Ohya, *Fundamentals of quantum mutual entropy and capacity*, *Open Systems and Information Dynamics*, **6**. No.1, (1999) pp.69-78.
- [12] M.Ohya, *Quantum ergodic channels in operator algebras*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **84** (1981) pp.318-327.
- [13] C.E.Shannon, *Mathematical theory of communication*, *Bell System Tech. J.* **27** (1948) pp.379-423.
- [14] M.Ohya and D.Petz, **Quantum Entropy and Its Use**, (Springer, 1993).
- [15] R.Schatten, **Norm Ideals of Completely Continuous Operators**, (Springer-Verlag, 1970).
- [16] M.Ohya, *Note on quantum probability*, *L. Nuovo Cimento*, **38** (1983) pp.402-406.
- [17] G.Lindblad, *Entropy, Information and quantum measurements*, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **33** (1973) pp.111-119.
- [18] N.Muraki, M.Ohya and D.Petz, *Note on entropy of general quantum systems*, *Open Systems and Information Dynamics*, **1**, No.1, (1992) pp.43-56.
- [19] M.Ohya, D.Petz and N.Watanabe, *On capacities of quantum channels*, *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, **17** (1997) pp.179-196.
- [20] M.Ohya, D.Petz and N.Watanabe, *Numerical computation of quantum capacity*, *International J. Theor. Phys.*, **38**, No.1, (1998) pp.507-510.
- [21] H.P.Yuen and M.Ozawa, *Ultimate information carrying limit of quantum systems*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **70** (1993) pp.363-366.